

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Rec'd PCT/PTO 23 MAY 2005

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

REC'D 04 NOV 2004

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year) **02 NOV 2004**

FOR FURTHER ACTION
See paragraph 2 below

Applicant's or agent's file reference

DEN-1693-23PCT

International application No.

PCT/US04/08638

International filing date (day/month/year)

18 March 2004 (18.03.2004)

Priority date (day/month/year)

18 March 2003 (18.03.2003)

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

IPC(7): F16D 31/02 and US Cl.: 60/476

Applicant

LAST, HARRY J

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. I | Basis of the opinion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. II | Priority |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VI | Certain documents cited |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application |

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

Thomas E. Lazo

Telephone No. 703-308-0975

Sheila H. Venev
Paralegal Specialist
Tech. Center 3700

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/US04/08638

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material
☐ a sequence listing
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material
☐ in written format
☐ in computer readable form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing
☐ contained in international application as filed.
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US04/08638

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims 1-5	YES
	Claims NONE	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 4-5	YES
	Claims 1-3	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-5	YES
	Claims NONE	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-3 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Schanzlin et al. (2,657,533). Schanzlin et al. discloses a hydraulic circuit with a reversible hydraulic power source 10, a dual, coupled check valve 17, a manifold, check valve poppets, a translating rod 52, a hydraulic cylinder 23, pressure relief valves 24,25, a shuttle valve 11, and a single pressure relief valve 26 in communication with the shuttle valve 11, wherein the hydraulic liquid pumped from a port of the reversible hydraulic power source 10 seats the check valve poppet on the valve seat translating the translating rod 52 preventing the other check valve poppet from seating on the other valve seat for allowing the reversible hydraulic power source 10 to pump or make up fluid and for allowing excess liquid to flow to the reservoir 15 without tripping a pressure relief. Schanzlin et al. does not disclose check valve balls. The use of check valve balls in place of check valve poppets would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Claims 4-5 the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the reversible hydraulic power source in combination with reversible hydraulic motors that drive a winding system to translate a structure.

Claims 1-5 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.